

## **Judging Criteria**

## **Comprehension & Content**

- Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the topic being addressed, while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
- Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
- Was the topic, (significance, results, impact, outcomes) communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
- Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?

## **Engagement & Communication**

- Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
- Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their?
- Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?
- Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have confident stance?
- Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation was it clear, legible, and concise?

a

## **Thee Minute Thesis Grading Rubric**

Notes, Comments	Topic / Title:	Score	
	Comprehension & Content	Engagement & Communication	Feedback from Peers
	Did the presentation help the audience understand the research & was the thesis topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent but non-specialist audience?	Did the oration make the audience want to know more?	
	/50	/50	